
Nicola Sverzellati, MD, PhD
David A. Lynch, MB
David M. Hansell, MD, FRCP, FRCR
Takeshi Johkoh, MD, PhD
Talmadge E. King, Jr, MD
William D. Travis, MD

In the updated American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs), the major entities have been preserved and grouped into (a) “chronic fibrosing IIPs” (idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and idiopathic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia), (b) “smoking-related IIPs” (respiratory bronchiolitis–associated interstitial lung disease and desquamative interstitial pneumonia), (c) “acute or subacute IIPs” (cryptogenic organizing pneumonia and acute interstitial pneumonia), and (d) “rare IIPs” (lymphoid interstitial pneumonia and idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis). Furthermore, it has been acknowledged that a final diagnosis is not always achievable, and the category “unclassifiable IIP” has been proposed. The diagnostic interpretation of the IIPs is often challenging because other diseases with a known etiology (most notably, connective tissue disease and hypersensitivity pneumonitis) may show similar morphologic patterns. Indeed, more emphasis has been given to the integration of clinical, computed tomographic (CT), and pathologic findings for multidisciplinary diagnosis. Typical CT-based morphologic patterns are associated with the IIPs, and radiologists play an important role in diagnosis and characterization. Optimal CT quality and a systematic approach are both pivotal for evaluation of IIP. Interobserver variation for the various patterns encountered in the IIPs is an issue. It is important for radiologists to understand the longitudinal behavior of IIPs at serial CT examinations, especially for providing a framework for cases that are unclassifiable or in which a histologic diagnosis cannot be obtained.
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SA-CME LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After completing this journal-based SA-CME activity, participants will be able to:

■ Explain optimal-quality CT requirements for the evaluation of patients with IIP.
■ Distinguish fibrotic from nonfibrotic CT features of the IIPs.
■ Describe various longitudinal CT changes that may be seen in patients with any IIP.

See www.rsna.org/education/search/RG.

Introduction

The American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs) underwent revision in 2013 (1). This update was not designed as a stand-alone document but as a supplement to the previous 2002 IIP classification, which defined key individual diseases and the best diagnostic approach to them (2). Pathologic patterns previously formed the basis for the classification of IIP subtypes, whereas in the update, more emphasis is given to the integration of clinical, computed tomographic (CT), and pathologic findings for multidisciplinary diagnosis (1).
TEACHING POINTS

A systematic approach to CT of the chest in subjects suspected of having IIP entails the evaluation of image quality, the precise description of specific disease features with standard terminology, and the determination of the distribution of disease in the axial and craniocaudal planes. The most important next step is to determine whether the CT features permit a high-confidence diagnosis of IIP. In cases that do not meet strict criteria for UIP, the presence of traction bronchiectasis, architectural distortion, or volume loss usually permits distinction of fibrosing from nonfibrosing interstitial pneumonia.

Terms such as UIP pattern and NSIP pattern are helpful in demonstrating that one is discussing the radiologic pattern rather than the associated clinical-pathologic syndrome. Indeed, it is important to appreciate that the CT patterns, especially those of the chronic fibrosing IIPs, may encompass several clinical-radiologic-pathologic entities; for example, NSIP and UIP patterns may be found in hypersensitivity pneumonitis and in association with connective tissue diseases.

The primary role of CT is to separate chronic fibrosing lung diseases with a UIP pattern from those without UIP lesions, including those with findings associated with other IIPs (eg, RB-ILD, lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, and idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis).

CT appearances of idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis are distinctive, with irregular pleural thickening and “tags” in the upper zones that merge with fibrotic changes in the subjacent lung, associated with evidence of substantial upper lobe volume loss (architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, and hilar elevation).

The 2013 IIP consensus statement acknowledges, as did the 2002 consensus statement, that a final diagnosis is not always achievable.

In the updated classification, the major entities have been preserved and grouped into (a) “chronic fibrosing IIPs” (idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis [IPF] and idiopathic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia [NSIP]), (b) “smoking-related IIPs” (respiratory bronchiolitis–associated interstitial lung disease [RB-ILD] and desquamative interstitial pneumonia), and (c) “acute or subacute IIPs” (cryptogenic organizing pneumonia and acute interstitial pneumonia). In addition, idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis has been newly included and classified together with lymphoid interstitial pneumonia in a fourth group, “rare IIPs” (Table 1). In the updated classification, it has again been acknowledged that in a subset of cases, a final diagnosis cannot always be achieved despite extensive multidisciplinary discussion, and such cases are considered “unclassifiable” (1).

More emphasis has been placed on the differential diagnosis, which is often challenging because other diseases with known causes may show similar morphologic patterns to the IIPs at both histologic examination and CT. An important addition is a disease behavior classification, which provides a framework for management of cases that are unclassifiable or in which a histologic diagnosis cannot be obtained (Table 2) (1). Importantly, imaging will play an ever-increasing role in monitoring IIP and in refining the diagnosis of chronic fibrosing lung diseases as their disease behavior becomes evident.

The authors of the updated IIP classification document reviewed MEDLINE publications relevant to key imaging questions for the years 2001–2011. However, there was inadequate space in the document to address several important imaging-related issues, for example, the key CT principles for the evaluation of IIP, the fundamental CT features of each entity, and the longitudinal behavior of these features. The purpose of this review article is to expand the literature search through June 2014, concentrating on the CT aspects of IIP that were not covered in depth in the 2013 classification but are nevertheless important to radiologists tasked with tackling this difficult group of disorders. First, the principles of radiologic IIP assessment are reviewed. Then the four IIP groups are covered: (a) chronic fibrosing IIPs, (b) smoking-related IIPs, (c) acute or subacute IIPs, and (d) rare IIPs. Finally, the category of unclassifiable IIP is discussed.

Key Principles for Radiologic Assessment of IIP

CT is pivotal for the evaluation of IIP. Optimal-quality CT requires the use of thin-section (<2-mm) reconstruction and high-spatial-resolution reconstruction. Images should be obtained during a full inspiration to total lung capacity (3). Despite the risk of increasing the radiation dose delivered to patients, volumetric CT acquisition with multidetector CT is generally preferred to noncontiguous imaging (4). Importantly, volumetric CT acquisition and derived multiplanar reconstructions (a) allow improved characterization of patchy disease; (b) allow better delineation of disease extent; (c) simplify analysis of disease distribution (5); (d) allow identification of ancillary findings such as nodules or bronchiectasis; (e) facilitate differentiation between honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis, which may be crucial to diagnose the UIP pattern, as shown in Figures 1 and 2 (6); and (f) optimize follow-up CT matching for any comparative evaluation in subjects with chronic IIPs. Unless contraindicated, CT scanning of the lungs should be performed with a reduced-dose technique. Several tools are available to reduce the radiation exposure, including automatic tube current modulation, optimization of tube potential, beam-shaping filters, and dynamic z-axis collimators (7,8). Acceptable CT images can be
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IIP Group and Clinical-Radiologic-Pathologic Diagnosis</th>
<th>CT Pattern</th>
<th>Typical CT Distribution</th>
<th>Typical CT Findings</th>
<th>CT Differential Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic fibrosing IIPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPF</td>
<td>Usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP)</td>
<td>Peripheral, subpleural, basal</td>
<td>Reticular opacities, honeycombing, traction bronchiectasis or bronchiolectasis, architectural distortion, focal ground-glass attenuation</td>
<td>Collagen vascular disease, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, asbestosis, sarcoidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic NSIP</td>
<td>NSIP</td>
<td>Peripheral, basal, symmetric</td>
<td>Ground-glass attenuation, irregular lines, traction bronchiectasis, consolidation</td>
<td>UIP, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking-related IIPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desquamative interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Desquamative interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Lower zone, peripheral predominance in most cases</td>
<td>Ground-glass attenuation, reticular lines, cysts</td>
<td>RB-ILD, NSIP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB-ILD</td>
<td>Respiratory bronchiolitis</td>
<td>Often upper lung predominant, centrilobular</td>
<td>Bronchial wall thickening, centrilobular nodules, patchy ground-glass opacity</td>
<td>Desquamative interstitial pneumonia, NSIP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute or subacute IIPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia</td>
<td>Organizing pneumonia</td>
<td>Subpleural or peribronchial</td>
<td>Patchy consolidation or nodules, perilobular pattern, reverse halo sign</td>
<td>Infection, aspiration, eosinophilic pneumonia, NSIP, vasculitis, sarcoidosis, mucinous adenocarcinoma, lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Diffuse alveolar damage</td>
<td>Diffuse or patchy</td>
<td>Consolidation and ground-glass opacity, often with lobular sparing; traction bronchiectasis later</td>
<td>Hydrostatic edema, pneumonia, pulmonary hemorrhage, acute eosinophilic pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare IIPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>More commonly, lower lung predominant</td>
<td>Centrilobular nodules, ground-glass attenuation, septal and bronchovascular thickening, thin-walled cysts Pleural thickening and subpleural fibrotic changes</td>
<td>NSIP, sarcoidosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis and other cystic lung diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis</td>
<td>Idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis</td>
<td>Peripheral, upper lung predominant</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sarcoidosis, pneumoconiosis, familial pulmonary fibrosis, connective tissue disease, hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtained in all but the most obese patients by using a reference dose of 80 mAs. Although the use of a lower dose with standard reconstruction methods can adversely affect image interpretation or disease detection, extremely low mAs (eg, 30–40 mAs) may be used for follow-up CT (9) (Fig 3). However, noncontiguous CT scanning might be used in younger subjects or those in whom substantial changes (eg, disease regression) are expected. Because the definition of a “low-dose” study is still evolving, CT protocols for imaging IIP should be tailored for each individual by considering the principle of “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) for the dose.

Prone CT is important if dependent opacification, mimicking disease, is depicted on supine images (10). Expiratory imaging is critical to identify airtrapping, which may be suggestive of an alternative diagnosis such as hypersensitivity pneumonitis or connective tissue disease (11) (Fig 4). Expiratory imaging may be performed with noncontiguous imaging and at a lower dose than inspiratory CT (12).

Systematic Approach to Chest CT
A systematic approach to CT of the chest in subjects suspected of having IIP entails the evaluation of image quality, the precise description of specific disease features with standard terminology (13), and the determination of the distribution of disease in the axial and craniocaudal planes. The most important next step is to determine whether the CT features permit a high-confidence diagnosis of UIP, as discussed in the following paragraphs. In cases that do not meet strict criteria for UIP, the presence of traction bronchiectasis, architectural distortion, or volume loss usually permits distinction of fibrosing from nonfibrosing interstitial pneumonia. In addition, the identification of ancillary features such as cysts, perilymphatic nodules, centrilobular nodules, mosaic attenuation, pleural thickening or effusions, a dilated esophagus, pleural plaques, sparing of the lung bases, or airtrapping may increase the suspicion of specific non-IIP entities (Table 3).

The terminology used in reporting radiologic findings on images should clearly indicate the differential diagnosis of the morphologic pattern, as well as the level of diagnostic confidence and the change in pattern or extent compared with previous images. Terms such as UIP pattern and NSIP pattern are helpful in demonstrating that one is discussing the radiologic pattern rather than the associated clinical-pathologic syndrome. Indeed, it is important to appreciate that the CT patterns, especially those of the chronic fibrosing IIPs, may encompass several clinical-radiologic-pathologic entities; for example, NSIP and UIP patterns may be found in hypersensitivity pneumonitis and in association with connective tissue diseases. Clinical information that may be important in refining the differential diagnosis may include the exposure history (including cigarette smoking), medications, and underlying connective tissue disease.

An important aspect of the new classification system is the introduction of a new classification of IIP that is based on clinical observation of disease progression or regression (1). The categories included in this disease behavior classification are shown in Table 2. Although the role of routine follow-up CT studies in the screening of patients with IIP is not defined, CT in clinical practice may play a critical role in the serial observation of disease progression or improvement with time (eg, because no full functional assessment is available or for monitoring comorbidities) (Fig 5). In addition, the evaluation of the CT pattern (eg, nonfibrotic compared with fibrotic lung disease) may be combined with other clinical information (eg, the presence of a likely trigger, the short-term natural history, or the treated course) to predict disease progression; this may be particularly useful when surgical lung biopsy is not possible because of the disease severity or another contraindication. For example, an individual with predominant ground-glass abnormalities who is a heavy cigarette smoker is most likely to have slowly progressive or reversible lung disease.

As further emphasized in the updated classification document, radiologists should bear in mind that a key feature of the diagnostic approach is that it involves integrated and dynamic interactions among the clinician, the radiologist, and the histopathologist before a final diagnosis is rendered. In particular, the workup is regarded as a dynamic process whereby revision and refinement of the diagnosis may be necessary as new data or associations are identified (Fig 4, Table 4) (14).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Behavior</th>
<th>Classification of IIP on the Basis of Disease Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reversible and self-limited disease (eg, many cases of RB-ILD)</td>
<td>Reversible and self-limited disease (eg, many cases of RB-ILD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible disease with risk of progression (eg, cellular NSIP and some fibrotic NSIP, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia)</td>
<td>Reversible disease with risk of progression (eg, cellular NSIP and some fibrotic NSIP, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable with residual disease (eg, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
<td>Stable with residual disease (eg, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive irreversible disease with potential for stabilization (eg, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
<td>Progressive irreversible disease with potential for stabilization (eg, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive irreversible disease despite therapy (eg, IPF, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
<td>Progressive irreversible disease despite therapy (eg, IPF, some fibrotic NSIP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Diagnostic value of volumetric imaging. (a, b) Two axial thin-section CT images (b more caudal than a) obtained at a 10-mm interval were reconstructed from a volumetric CT acquisition and show subpleural reticular opacities admixed with cystic abnormalities (oval), resembling honeycombing. (c) Coronal reformatted CT image shows that those cystic abnormalities (circle) are peripheral traction bronchiectasis, a finding that improved the interpretation of these key CT abnormalities.

Figure 2. Diagnostic value of multiplanar imaging in idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis. Coronal reformatted CT image in a 66-year-old man shows the typical features of idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis, namely, bilateral irregular pleural thickening with a subjacent reticular pattern in the upper and mid zones (ovals). Also, coexisting reticular abnormalities are depicted with honeycombing in the lower lobes, findings that may represent associated UIP.

Figure 3. Reduced-dose CT imaging of interstitial lung disease. Axial CT image obtained with a reduced dose (30 mAs, 120 kVp, no dose modulation) in a 52-year-old man shows centrilobular fluffy nodules and peripheral ground-glass opacity admixed with mild paraseptal emphysema in a heavy smoker with a cough and a mild restrictive deficit at pulmonary function testing. The diagnosis is presumed RB-ILD.
Figure 4. Key ancillary CT findings for the multidisciplinary diagnosis of hypersensitivity pneumonitis in a 56-year-old woman with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. (a) Coronal reformatted CT image obtained at suspended inspiration shows a reticular pattern with no particular zonal distribution and associated parenchymal distortion; areas of decreased attenuation (arrows) are also depicted. (b) Coronal expiratory CT image shows that the conspicuity and extent of the areas of decreased attenuation (arrows) are increased at expiratory CT, indicating small airways involvement, an almost invariable finding in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. CT findings led clinicians to reconsider the case and identify previously occult exposure to avian antigens.

Interobserver Variation
Variation occurs among observers for both the identification of individual CT signs of IIP, notably honeycombing (15), and for the subtypes of the IIPs (eg, UIP and NSIP). The interobserver agreement for the CT diagnosis of UIP/IPF is moderate to excellent (16–18). NSIP accounts for the majority of disagreements among observers for the IIPs, particularly the distinction of NSIP from UIP, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, and, less frequently, organizing pneumonia (19–21). Interobserver variation may be problematic even among experienced radiologists, particularly in those difficult cases that are commonly referred for surgical biopsy (20). However, as might be expected, interobserver agreement is greater among academic radiologists than among community radiologists (22).

In a multicenter study comprising a large IPF study population, agreement with regard to the presence or absence of honeycombing was recorded in 71.7% of cases between two core panel radiologists and was also similar to that reported for study-site radiologists (23). Likewise, in another large study, there was disagreement in approximately one-third of cases for the identification of honeycombing, particularly when this feature was mixed with traction bronchiectasis, large cysts, and superimposed paraseptal or centrilobular emphysema (15). Conversely, moderate interobserver agreement has been reported for the presence or absence of the CT sign agreement for honeycombing depends on the prevalence of other features, such as emphysema, that may mimic honeycombing (24,25). Given the critical importance of establishing the presence of honeycombing—the key diagnostic feature for establishing a CT diagnosis of UIP—this limitation needs to be appreciated.

In a multicenter study comprising a large IPF study population, agreement with regard to the presence or absence of honeycombing was recorded in 71.7% of cases between two core panel radiologists and was also similar to that reported for study-site radiologists (23). Likewise, in another large study, there was disagreement in approximately one-third of cases for the identification of honeycombing, particularly when this feature was mixed with traction bronchiectasis, large cysts, and superimposed paraseptal or centrilobular emphysema (15). Conversely, moderate interobserver agreement has been reported for the presence or absence of the CT sign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific CT Finding</th>
<th>Non-IIP Entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cysts</td>
<td>Langerhans cell histiocytosis, lymphangioleiomymomatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perilymphatic nodules</td>
<td>Sarcoïdosis, chronic berylliosis, lymphangitic carcinomatosis, lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrilobular nodules</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree-in-bud pattern</td>
<td>Infection, aspiration, other forms of bronchiolitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic attenuation or multilobular airtrapping</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis, obliterative bronchiolitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Examples of cases with a radiologic NSIP pattern that were classified according to disease behavior. (a, b) Axial CT image (a) of reversible and self-limited disease in a 40-year-old man with a cough and mild dyspnea shows a radiologic NSIP pattern characterized by reticular opacities sparing the subpleural regions of the lung and without obvious traction bronchiectasis; the axial follow-up CT image (b) obtained after steroid treatment shows resolution of the reticular abnormalities and residual mild ground-glass opacity. (c, d) Axial CT image (c) of reversible disease with the risk of progression in a 47-year-old woman shows a mixed NSIP and organizing pneumonia pattern of unknown cause; the axial short-term follow-up CT image (d) obtained after steroid treatment shows resolution of the consolidation, with a residual NSIP pattern characterized by peripheral reticular abnormality with subpleural sparing. One year later, this patient developed serologic evidence of antisynthetase syndrome. (e, f) Axial CT image (e) of progressive irreversible disease with the potential for stabilization in a 51-year-old woman with a lower lobe–predominant peripheral reticular abnormality and mild traction bronchiectasis, a “possible UIP pattern”; despite this, this patient refused to undergo surgical lung biopsy, and the axial follow-up CT image (f) showed that the reticular opacities and traction bronchiectasis increased after 6 months. Surgical lung biopsy was then performed, and the histologic findings showed an NSIP pattern that was stabilized by increasing the treatment with anti-inflammatory agents.
of traction bronchiectasis (16,26,27). Steps that can be taken to reduce interobserver variation in the diagnosis of IIPs would include adherence to standard descriptive terminology (13) and a reliance on standardized criteria (1,28).

**Chronic Fibrosing IIPs**

*C* **Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis**

IPF is defined as a specific form of chronic progressive fibrosing interstitial pneumonia of unknown cause that occurs primarily in older adults and is associated with the histopathologic or radiologic pattern of UIP. Prognosis is poor, with a median survival of less than 5 years (29). The diagnosis of IPF requires (a) exclusion of other known causes of interstitial lung disease, (b) the presence of a UIP pattern on CT images in patients not subjected to surgical lung biopsy, and (c) specific combinations of CT and surgical lung biopsy patterns in patients subjected to surgical lung biopsy. Indeed, multidisciplinary discussion forms the basis of the diagnostic algorithm and schema for correlating clinical, histologic, and radiologic findings in patients suspected of having IPF (28).

**CT Features**.—The role of CT in the diagnosis of IPF has been clearly defined in the latest IPF classification (28). In the appropriate clinical setting, the presence of a classic UIP pattern at CT is sufficient for the diagnosis of IPF, without the need for surgical lung biopsy. The characteristic CT features of UIP are a reticular pattern with honeycombing, often associated with traction bronchiectasis; ground-glass opacity may be depicted but is less extensive than the reticular abnormality (Table 1, Fig 6) (28). Such abnormalities are characteristically found in basal and peripheral areas, although the distribution is often patchy. The distribution may be asymmetric in as many as 25% of cases (30).

A confident CT diagnosis of UIP is not usually made unless honeycombing is depicted. If honeycombing is absent but the imaging features otherwise meet the criteria for UIP (especially when the pattern is characterized by reticular opacities in a predominantly peripheral and basal distribution), the imaging features have been regarded as representing possible UIP, and surgical lung biopsy is necessary to make a definitive diagnosis (Fig 7) (28). However, in the findings from several recent studies, investigators have suggested that subjects with typical clinical features and CT appearances of UIP, but without

---

**Table 4: Clinical and CT Features Suggestive That Histopathologic NSIP Pattern Does Not Indicate Idiopathic NSIP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined Clinical Features</th>
<th>CT Features</th>
<th>More Likely Multidisciplinary Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older age (&gt;70 years), male sex, former or current smoker</td>
<td>Marked honeycombing</td>
<td>UIP/IPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger age, critical exposures, non-smoker, midinspiratory squeaks, lymphocytosis at examination of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid</td>
<td>Centrilobular nodules, airtrapping, relative sparing of lung bases</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger age, female sex, Raynaud syndrome, skin findings, musculoskeletal findings, positive serologic tests</td>
<td>Pleural effusion and pleural thickening, pericardial effusion, esophageal dilatation, disproportionate pulmonary arterial enlargement, airtrapping and abnormalities of airways away from lung fibrosis</td>
<td>Connective tissue disease, vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger age, multisystem involvement</td>
<td>Perilymphatic nodules in addition to reticulation</td>
<td>Fibrosing sarcoidosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
honeycombing, are highly likely to have UIP, suggesting that surgical lung biopsy may not be necessary in carefully selected cases of “possible UIP” (31,32). In addition, in patients whose CT images demonstrate neither a classic nor a possible UIP pattern, the specimen from surgical lung biopsy may still demonstrate the UIP pattern at histopathologic examination (28). Most of these atypical UIP cases are characterized by extensive areas of ground-glass opacity, whereas consolidation, nodules, or extensive areas of decreased attenuation can be seen less frequently (Fig 8) (16,17,19,33). The increasing recognition of atypical CT features of UIP is likely related in part to the fact that subjects with typical CT features of UIP now rarely undergo biopsy. Patients with IPF who have definite or possible UIP according to the CT criteria have a shorter survival than those who have indeterminate CT findings (16,19,23), and in some studies, subjects with possible UIP have a longer survival than those with definite UIP (19). The extent of disease and the presence of traction bronchiectasis and honeycombing on high-resolution CT images are also predictive of both survival and mortality in patients with IPF (26,34).

Mediastinal lymph node enlargement, usually mild, is evident at CT in approximately 70% of the patients with IPF (35). Coexisting emphysema is common and can sometimes make the diagnosis of IPF more difficult (24). Furthermore, describing the extent and severity of coexisting emphysema in patients with the UIP pattern has become important because of the effect of emphysema on the patient’s clinical course, management, and prognosis (36,37). Occasionally, fine linear or small nodular foci of calcification are observed within areas of fibrosis as a result of ossification (38).

**Longitudinal Evaluation.**—Serial CT examinations in subjects with IPF generally show an increase in the extent and severity of fibrosis over months or years (39–41). As the disease progresses, it often appears to “creep” up the periphery of the lung, resulting in subpleural reticular...
Fibrotic lung disease classified according to the IPF guidelines as a CT pattern inconsistent with UIP in a 37-year-old man. (a) Axial CT image shows that reticular abnormalities are so fine that they resemble micronodules (also involving the fissure), thus mimicking sarcoidosis. (b) However, a low-power photomicrograph shows histologic features of “definite UIP” that consist of abrupt alternating areas of scarred and normal lung. (Original magnification, ×20; H-E stain.) (c) Higher-power photomicrograph shows fibroblastic foci, another feature of definite UIP. (Original magnification, ×100; H-E stain.) The combined radiologic-pathologic features cause this case to be classified as possible UIP according to the IPF criteria (28).

Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.—The primary role of CT is to separate chronic fibrosing lung diseases with a UIP pattern from those with non-UIP lesions, including those with findings associated with other IIPs (eg, RB-ILD, lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, and idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis) (Table 1). In more than 50% of patients suspected of having IPF/UIP, the presence of typical clinical and CT features of UIP, when identified by expert clinicians and radiologists, is sufficiently characteristic to allow a confident diagnosis and eliminate the need for surgical lung biopsy (28). A confident radiologic diagnosis of UIP at CT is correct in more than 90% of cases (44,45). However, as previously discussed, the diagnosis of honeycombing may be challenging, particularly in smokers who develop both lung fibrosis and emphysema. Akira et al (24) showed that in patients with concurrent em-
physiema, the diagnosis was correct in 30 (44%) of 68 readings, including 20 (50%) for UIP and 10 (36%) for NSIP.

The UIP pattern can be found in asbestososis and connective tissue disease. In addition to the exposure history, the presence of pleural plaques or diffuse pleural thickening, subpleural dotlike or branching opacities, and less-coarse reticular shadows can help to distinguish asbestososis from IPF (46,47). In one study comparing pathologic and radiologic differences between IPF and UIP related to connective tissue disease, the latter had less emphysea and was more likely to have a nontypical UIP pattern without honeycombing (48).

Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis may also mimic IPF, but the former should be considered if poorly defined fine micronodules are seen, if there is multifocal decreased attenuation or airtrapping, or if there is sparing of the lung bases (33,49) (Fig 4). Patients with end-stage sarcoidosis may uncommonly develop a UIP-like pattern; however, sarcoidosis should be suspected if the cysts are large or if peribronchovascular nodules are present (50). Coal miners and silica-exposed workers may develop a chronic interstitial pneumonia with CT features similar to those of UIP (51). However, these cases tend to show less traction bronchiectasis, more subpleural homogeneous attenuation, and more random distribution of fibrosis than found in IPF/UIP (52).

In the findings from several case series of subjects with biopsy-proven UIP, 30%–60% of the IPF cases showed atypical CT appearances (16,17,23). Thus, in the correct clinical setting, a diagnosis of IPF is not excluded by thin-section CT appearances more suggestive of other interstitial lung diseases such as NSIP, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, or sarcoidosis (Figs 7, 8) (17). In a study of 97 biopsy-proven IPF cases without honeycombing at CT and 38 cases with other IIPs, increasing age and increased profusion of reticular opacities at CT were found to predict a histopathologic confirmation of IPF at biopsy (53). In particular, subjects aged more than 65 or 70 years are highly likely to have UIP and are much less likely to have another IIP.

Idiopathic NSIP

Idiopathic NSIP is regarded as an uncommon but distinct entity among the IIPs. The NSIP pattern at CT occurs not only as an idiopathic condition, but also in a variety of settings (as outlined in the following section), and multidisciplinary discussion is especially important to establish the diagnosis of idiopathic NSIP (1,54). Surgical lung biopsy with a specimen showing the characteristic histologic features of NSIP is needed to secure a correct diagnosis. Although the prognosis of idiopathic NSIP is heterogeneous, it is however better than that of IPF.

CT Features.—Initially published descriptions of the CT appearances of NSIP varied widely, perhaps because of differing histopathologic diagnostic criteria (25,55–60). Although the radiologic and histologic NSIP pattern is commonly associated with an underlying cause (particularly connective tissue diseases, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and drug toxicity), an American Thoracic Society workshop helped identify a more typical CT appearance of idiopathic NSIP (54). The CT features of idiopathic NSIP may vary according to the underlying proportion of inflammation (cellular component) and fibrosis. The reported high prevalence of a reticular pattern (87%), traction bronchiectasis (82%), and lobar volume loss (77%), as compared with the prevalence of ground-glass opacity (44%), is suggestive that some degree of fibrosis is present in the vast majority of cases. In NSIP, honeycombing is absent or inconspicuous (41,54). Mildly enlarged mediastinal nodes are found in as many as 80% of cases (35).

In the craniocaudal plane, abnormalities are almost invariably predominant in the lower lobes (92%) and may be confined to the lower portions of the lungs, in contrast to the tendency of UIP to progressively involve the upper lungs. In the axial plane, either a peribronchovascular distribution or peripheral subpleural sparing is a classic feature of idiopathic NSIP (Fig 5) and is found in 21%–64% of cases (41,54).

Longitudinal Evaluation.—Although resolution of abnormalities may occur in a minority of patients with NSIP (Fig 5), most patients with NSIP have persistent abnormality (61). The extent of ground-glass attenuation decreases with time, but the extent of reticular abnormality persists (41,55), and the extent of honeycombing may increase (leading to a pattern suggestive of UIP) (41). Patients with CT findings compatible with NSIP and with NSIP confirmed at histologic examination have a longer survival than those with CT findings more compatible with UIP or an alternative diagnosis and with histologic findings of NSIP (62).

Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.—In previous reports, investigators have suggested that the accuracy of CT in differentiating NSIP from UIP was relatively low (56,59). However, as the characteristic imaging and pathologic features have become more recognized, the results of recent studies have shown a higher accuracy of CT in distinguishing NSIP and UIP. In a study of 21
cases of UIP and 32 cases of NSIP, investigators found that a CT diagnosis of NSIP was associated with a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 63% and suggested that NSIP can be distinguished from UIP in most but not all cases by the presence of prominent ground-glass attenuation (60). In the results of another study of 25 patients with surgical lung biopsy–proven NSIP and 22 patients with UIP, investigators found that the positive predictive value of a CT diagnosis made at intermediate or high confidence was 68% for NSIP and 88% for UIP (63). In this study, the presence of honeycombing as a predominant imaging finding was highly specific for UIP. In contrast, the presence of predominant ground-glass and reticular opacity was highly characteristic of NSIP, but some patients with UIP have this pattern and may require biopsy for differentiation from NSIP. In a study of 90 patients with biopsy-proven IIP, including 36 with NSIP and 11 with UIP, investigators reported that a high-confidence diagnosis of NSIP was correct in 65% of cases, compared with 91% for UIP (21). In this study, NSIP was misdiagnosed as UIP in 6.7% of patients, as cryptogenic organizing pneumonia in 6.7%, and as desquamative interstitial pneumonia or RB-ILD in 3.3%. Only a small percentage of patients with predominantly fibrotic NSIP showed overlap with the CT findings of UIP.

In another study of 92 patients with biopsy-proven IIP, including 20 with UIP, 16 with cellular NSIP, and 16 with fibrotic NSIP, observers made the correct diagnosis in 79% (64). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the independent findings that distinguished UIP from cellular NSIP were the extent of honeycombing and the most proximal bronchus with traction bronchiectasis, and the finding that distinguished UIP from fibrotic NSIP was the extent of honeycombing. The results of other studies have shown a much lower prevalence of cellular NSIP (54). In a study of 66 patients with biopsy-proven chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, IPF, and NSIP, a confident diagnosis of NSIP was made in 53% and was correct in 94% (33). In this study, a general linear model showed that the features that best differentiated NSIP were relative subpleural sparing, absence of lobular areas with decreased attenuation, and lack of honeycombing. Differentiation of NSIP from UIP becomes more difficult in cigarette smokers with emphysema. In a study of 54 patients with NSIP and 42 patients with UIP, the CT diagnosis was correct in 44% of readings in those with concurrent emphysema, compared with 68% in those without concurrent emphysema (24).

The diagnosis of idiopathic NSIP requires meticulous clinical-radiologic-pathologic discussion. In the American Thoracic Society NSIP workshop, among 104 cases with a robust histopathologic diagnosis of NSIP, the findings from CT or clinical data resulted in a consensus diagnosis other than idiopathic NSIP in 38 (37%) of cases (54). Alternative diagnoses (most commonly, hypersensitivity pneumonitis) were favored by CT alone in 20% of cases, and in 14% of cases by clinical and CT data (54). Indeed, various ancillary CT findings may suggest a cause for an NSIP pattern (Table 4). In patients with NSIP related to connective tissue disease, the CT features often resemble or may even be indistinguishable from those of idiopathic NSIP (27). It is therefore important to look for additional abnormalities that may be suggestive of connective tissue disease, such as cysts, pericardial effusion, pleural disease (thickening or effusion), a dilated esophagus, mixed patterns of injury (NSIP and organizing pneumonia), or disproportionate pulmonary arterial enlargement (65).

Smoking-related IIPs

Respiratory Bronchiolitis–associated Interstitial Lung Disease

Respiratory bronchiolitis is the most common form of smoking-related lung injury and is usually asymptomatic. However, in a minority of cases, respiratory bronchiolitis is associated with clinical symptoms or physiologic abnormalities. In such cases, it is termed RB-ILD. A major change in clinical practice during the past decade is the greater reliance on CT findings and the clinical history, especially the smoking history, in making the diagnosis (1). At the time of the writing of the 2002 IIP American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society classification, this diagnosis of RB-ILD was reliant on the findings from surgical lung biopsy (2). Currently, in centers of expertise for IIP, this diagnosis is usually made largely on the basis of CT findings without surgical lung biopsy in the appropriate clinical setting.

CT Features.—The CT findings of RB-ILD are variable in terms of extent and combination of patterns. Key findings are inconspicuous poorly defined centrilobular nodules (upper lobe predominant), patchy ground-glass attenuation, scattered lobules of reduced attenuation (confirmed as lobular airtrapping at expiratory CT), and mild interlobular septal thickening (Fig 3, Table 1) (66,67). Ancillary findings are bronchial wall thickening and the presence of upper lobe centrilobular and paraseptal emphysema (rarely severe) (67). These findings may also be seen in asymptomatic cigarette smokers, albeit with less profu-
Figure 9. Desquamative interstitial pneumonia. Axial CT image shows areas of lower-lung–predominant ground-glass opacity with small cysts (arrows), findings that represent biopsy-proven desquamative interstitial pneumonia. Note that this was a rare case of desquamative interstitial pneumonia in a nonsmoker. Punctate subpleural calcifications are also depicted, which are presumably dystrophic calcification.

The findings from large-scale lung cancer CT screening studies have shown a noticeable prevalence of “interstitial abnormalities.” The reported proportion of cigarette smokers, with or without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, who have these interstitial abnormalities ranges from 1.3% to 9.7% (70–73). However, 1%–2% of these subjects have abnormalities with a more fibrotic appearance, which may progress with time (73). Lobular airtrapping, when present, is not usually as extensive as in other mixed interstitial and small airways diseases (74). There is no pathologic verification of these findings; however, it seems likely that most of these changes represent RB-ILD and smoking-related interstitial fibrosis (75,76).

**Longitudinal Evaluation.**—The longitudinal behavior of RB-ILD in cigarette smokers is not well documented but is likely heterogeneous (73). During a mean follow-up period of 5.5 years, Remy-Jardin et al (77) showed that centrilobular nodules in continuing smokers increased in profusion or were replaced by centrilobular emphysema; furthermore, ground-glass opacities and emphysema increased in prevalence in those who continued to smoke. The results of other studies have shown that centrilobular nodules are either stable or progressive in persistent smokers (71,78). However, in another study of a small group of patients with biopsy-proven RB-ILD who quit smoking, the CT findings of centrilobular nodules and ground-glass opacities regressed in all patients during a period of approximately 4 years, whereas fine reticular elements, traction bronchiolectasis, and emphysema did not (79).

**Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.**—The CT features of RB-ILD overlap with those of subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, and NSIP. The poorly defined centrilobular nodules of subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis are often more profuse and diffuse than those of respiratory bronchiolitis and RB-ILD. Also, individuals with hypersensitivity pneumonitis are usually nonsmokers, and their fluid from bronchoalveolar lavage is characterized by lymphocytosis rather than pigment-laden macrophages. RB-ILD differs from desquamative interstitial pneumonia in that the ground-glass attenuation of RB-ILD is usually less extensive, patchier, and more poorly defined than that in desquamative interstitial pneumonia (Figs 3, 9) (80). Centrilobular nodules are uncommon or sparse in desquamative interstitial pneumonia. Furthermore, desquamative interstitial pneumonia–related abnormalities tend to predominate in the lower lobes, whereas those of RB-ILD are often predominantly distributed in the upper lobes (80). However, RB-ILD coexists with desquamative interstitial pneumonia (68,81).

**Desquamative Interstitial Pneumonia** Desquamative interstitial pneumonia overlaps with RB-ILD in that the quality of the pigment-laden macrophages is identical, but their distribution and extent differ, with pigment-laden macrophages being more diffuse and extensive (within most of the distal airspaces) in desquamative interstitial pneumonia. Furthermore, the clinical presentation, imaging findings, and response to therapy differ, and RB-ILD and desquamative interstitial pneumonia remain classified separately (1).

**CT Features.**—Ground-glass opacification is the dominant pattern and has been depicted at CT in most, if not all, cases of desquamative interstitial pneumonia reported to date (82). The ground-glass pattern has a lower zone distribution in the majority of cases (73%), a subpleural distribution is found in 59% of cases, and the distribution is random in 23% (Table 1, Fig 9). In a few cases, the ground-glass opacification is entirely uniform and diffuse (18%). A limited reticular pattern and some irregular linear opacities, both usually basal, are frequent accompaniments (59%). Honeycombing is seen in less than one-third of cases and is usually peripheral and limited (80). Numerous small cystic airspaces, not to be confused with honeycomb destruction, may be present in areas of ground-glass opacification (83).
**Longitudinal Evaluation.**—At follow-up CT of treated patients, there is usually partial or nearly complete resolution of areas of ground-glass opacification (84). Although the dominant feature of ground-glass opacification is generally reversible, the ground-glass opacification in some patients (<20%) remains fixed or even increases; in the absence of serial histopathologic specimens, it is uncertain what the persistent ground-glass pattern represents. Limited evidence in the literature and in the clinical experience with serial CT supports the idea that a substantial proportion of patients with desquamative interstitial pneumonia subsequently develop irreversible fibrosis, most frequently fibrotic NSIP (85).

**Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.**—In three studies including various IIPs, a correct first-choice diagnosis was made in 60%–70% of cases of desquamative interstitial pneumonia (21,59,64). Although ground-glass attenuation with lower and subpleural predominance at CT is characteristic in the cases of desquamative interstitial pneumonia, the CT appearances of desquamative interstitial pneumonia may overlap with those of RB-ILD; and, indeed, these entities may coexist along a spectrum of smoking-related lung injury (75). Desquamative interstitial pneumonia may usually be distinguished from NSIP by the absence of fibrotic features, such as traction bronchiectasis and lower lobe volume loss. A history of cigarette smoking and an abundance of macrophages in the specimen from bronchoalveolar lavage may also be helpful in supporting the diagnosis of desquamative interstitial pneumonia.

The IIP classification briefly mentions “airspace enlargement with fibrosis.” Airspace enlargement with fibrosis is a smoking-related phenomenon not regarded as a distinct IIP, and the corresponding CT findings have not been well documented (1). Anecdotally, airspace enlargement with fibrosis differs from either RB-ILD or desquamative interstitial pneumonia because of the presence of more conspicuous reticular abnormalities admixed with emphysema (Fig 10) (75,76).

**Acute or Subacute IIPs**

**Cryptogenic Organizing Pneumonia**

Patients with cryptogenic organizing pneumonia usually present with a 4–6-week history of subacute influenza-like symptoms. Because many cases are secondary, use of the generic term organizing pneumonia for this reaction pattern is suggested, with modifiers as appropriate; for example, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia when idiopathic, or organizing pneumonia associated with any connective tissue disease or secondary to infection. At histologic examination, the organizing pneumonia pattern is a patchy process characterized primarily by buds of loose collagen-containing fibroblasts involving alveolar ducts and alveoli, with or without bronchiolar intraluminal polyps (1).
Figure 11. Perilobular pattern of organizing pneumonia. Axial CT image shows opacification around the periphery of individual secondary lobules, resembling poorly defined thickened interlobular septa.

**CT Features.**—The characteristic CT manifestations of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia consist of patchy unilateral or bilateral consolidation, which is usually a few centimeters in diameter and may contain air bronchograms with mild bronchial dilatation (86–88). Consolidation usually has a subpleural or peribronchial distribution with no craniocaudal predilection, although a basal predominance has been reported (87,89) (Fig 5c, Table 1). Randomly distributed ground-glass opacities are observed in 60%–86% of patients and usually coexist with consolidation (87–89). Occasionally, ground-glass opacities may be the predominant or only manifestation of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia at CT (87,89).

Since the 2002 American Thoracic Society–European Respiratory Society IIP classification document (2), two additional useful CT findings have been described in cryptogenic organizing pneumonia; perilobular opacities (Fig 11) and the reversed halo sign, or atoll sign, are encountered in 57% and 20% of cases, respectively, either isolated or more commonly in association with other findings (90,91). The identification of these two CT findings may be helpful in suggesting the diagnosis of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia. Irregular reticular opacities may be depicted but are rarely the major feature (92).

Several variant manifestations of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia have been described. Consolidation may be unifocal or cavitary, which makes the diagnosis more difficult (93,94); positron emission tomography may not be helpful because unifocal cryptogenic organizing pneumonia can be hypermetabolic as lung cancer. Other less-common findings include multiple large nodular or masslike areas of consolidation, diffuse poorly defined micronodules, consolidation associated with satellite nodules, and bandlike opacities with air bronchograms (90,95–97). A few enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes have been observed in 38% of cases (35). Small unilateral or bilateral pleural effusions may occur in 10%–30% of patients (86,87,91).

**Longitudinal Evaluation.**—Consolidation characteristically tends to migrate and may come and go, even without treatment (98). Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia manifesting as consolidation, ground-glass opacity, or nodules at CT frequently regresses with treatment, although there is a substantial relapse rate (87,88). It has been shown that in organizing pneumonia, areas of consolidation may be gradually replaced by a mixture of reticulation and ground-glass opacity that surrounds bronchiectatic airways, resembling an NSIP-like pattern (88,89,99) (Fig 5). Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia that manifests at CT as linear and reticular opacities frequently does not resolve after treatment and may evolve to fibrosis (89).

**Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.**—The radiologic differential diagnosis of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia in patients with areas of consolidation includes infection and aspiration. In the appropriate clinical context (consolidation increasing during several weeks despite therapy with antibiotics), a CT pattern of consolidation in a predominantly peribronchial or subpleural distribution is highly suggestive of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia. Bronchoalveolar lavage may be helpful to exclude infection (100). Other entities that may occasionally cause confusion include vasculitis, sarcoidosis, mucinous adenocarcinoma, and lymphoma (92). When the consolidation is subpleural, then the diagnosis of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia should be considered. However, the results of a study including 38 patients with cryptogenic organizing pneumonia and 43 patients with chronic eosinophilic pneumonia showed that the most helpful distinguishing feature of cryptogenic organizing pneumonia at CT was the presence of nodules, which were seen in 32% of patients with cryptogenic organizing pneumonia and only 5% of those with chronic eosinophilic pneumonia (101). Those patients who present with multiple large masses have a differential diagnosis that includes metastatic lung tumor, lymphoma, and pulmonary infection including septic emboli.

**Acute Interstitial Pneumonia**
Acute interstitial pneumonia is a rapidly progressive and histologically distinct form of IIP.
The pathologic findings consist of an acute or organizing form of diffuse alveolar damage indistinguishable from the histologic pattern found in acute respiratory distress syndrome caused by sepsis and shock (1).

**CT Features.**—The most common findings at CT in patients with acute interstitial pneumonia are areas of ground-glass attenuation, bronchial dilatation, and architectural distortion (102). The extent of the areas of ground-glass attenuation correlates with disease duration. In the early exudative phase, the lung shows areas of ground-glass attenuation that are most often bilateral and patchy, with areas of focal sparing of lung lobules giving a geographic appearance (103) (Fig 12). The ground-glass opacities are neither distinctly subpleural nor central. Consolidation is seen in the majority of cases. The distribution is most often basilar but can occasionally be diffuse or, rarely, have an upper lobe predominance (Table 1). In patients with classic acute interstitial pneumonia, the areas of consolidation are most often in the dependent areas of lung, a finding that is suggestive of alveolar closure from the weight and hydrostatic pressure of the more-superior lung tissue. The later organizing stage of acute interstitial pneumonia is associated with distortion of bronchovascular bundles, traction bronchiectasis, and honeycombing (Fig 12). The areas of consolidation tend to be replaced by ground-glass opacities. Cysts and other lucent areas of lung become more common in the late stages of acute interstitial pneumonia (102).

**Longitudinal Evaluation.**—Because of the relatively poor prognosis of acute interstitial pneumonia, there has been no systematic evaluation of the longitudinal CT findings in this condition. The few patients who survive show progressive clearing of the ground-glass attenuation and consolidation. The most common residual CT findings are areas of hypoattenuation, lung cysts, reticular abnormality, and associated parenchymal distortion occurring mainly in the nondependent (anterior) portion of the lung (104).

CT assessment is potentially helpful in predicting patient prognosis (105). In a scoring system established by Ichikado et al (105,106), CT findings were graded on a scale of 1–6, with areas graded as follows: 1, normal attenuation; 2, ground-glass attenuation, 3, airspace consolidation; 4, ground-glass attenuation associated with traction bronchiolectasis or bronchiectasis; 5, airspace consolidation associated with traction bronchiolectasis or bronchiectasis; and 6, honeycombing. An overall score was obtained by quantifying the extent of each abnormality in three lung zones in each lung. Overall CT scores of survivors were significantly lower than those of nonsurvivors ($P = .0003$) (105). In addition, the CT score was independently associated with mortality (106).

**Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.**—Although the CT features of acute interstitial pneumonia are similar to those of acute respiratory distress syndrome, patients with acute interstitial pneumonia are more likely to have a symmetric bilateral distribution with lower lung predominance, compared with patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (107). Sometimes, especially in the early stage, the findings of acute interstitial pneumonia may be similar to cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, with areas of airspace consolidation. In the results of a study of 27 patients with acute interstitial pneumonia and 14 with cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, investigators reported that traction bronchiectasis, interlobular septal thickening, and intralobular reticular opacities were significantly more prevalent in
Acute interstitial pneumonia than in cryptogenic organizing pneumonia \( (P < .01) \) (108).

The radiologic differential diagnosis of acute interstitial pneumonia depends on the stage but can include widespread infection (particularly *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia), hydrostatic edema, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, diffuse pulmonary hemorrhage, acute hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and alveolar proteinosis. In the findings from a study of 90 patients with acute parenchymal lung diseases, including 21 with acute interstitial pneumonia, investigators showed that a correct first-choice diagnosis was made in 90% of cases of acute interstitial pneumonia (109). Widely distributed traction bronchiectasis is a clue for the differentiation of acute interstitial pneumonia from other acute parenchymal lung diseases. The presence of substantial interlobular septal thickening and pleural effusions should be suggestive of acute eosinophilic pneumonia or pulmonary edema (110). Profuse centrilobular nodules or mosaic attenuation should be suggestive of hypersensitivity pneumonitis.

**Acute Exacerbation of IIP**

Acute exacerbation (also termed the accelerated phase) causes an acute respiratory worsening of any chronic IIP. Histologically, acute exacerbation of IIP manifests as acute or organizing diffuse alveolar damage and should be suspected in patients with IPF with extensive or rapidly progressive new ground-glass opacities at CT (Fig 13). Importantly, the new parenchymal opacities may be caused by infection or heart failure that must be excluded to secure the diagnosis of acute exacerbation of IIP (111). Often, these subjects undergo CT to exclude pulmonary embolism as a cause of acutely progressive hypoxia. Three CT patterns have been described: peripheral, multifocal, and diffuse parenchymal opacification (predominantly ground-glass opacity but sometimes consolidation), all occurring against a background of preexisting fibrotic change typical of UIP/IPF (112). Akira et al (112) found that patients with the diffuse pattern of parenchymal opacification at CT had a higher risk of death than those with the multifocal or peripheral pattern. However, in the results of another study, other investigators showed that both the pathologic findings and the CT score were more predictive in terms of prognosis than the CT pattern itself (113).

Acute exacerbations of other fibrotic lung diseases, including idiopathic NSIP, have also been described. Some have suggested that the outcome of acute exacerbation in NSIP may be better than that in UIP (114,115).

**Rare IIPs**

**Lymphoid Interstitial Pneumonia**

Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia is a benign lymphoproliferative condition characterized by a dense interstitial lymphoid infiltrate. Because most cases of lymphoid interstitial pneumonia are associated with other conditions, true idiopathic lymphoid interstitial pneumonia is rare (1).

**CT Features.**—Common CT findings in lymphoid interstitial pneumonia are areas of ground-glass opacities, nodules of varying sizes (which may be ill-defined), interlobular septal thickening,
thickened bronchovascular bundles, and thin-walled cysts (1–30 mm) (Table 1). CT findings of lymphoid interstitial pneumonia overlap with those of cellular NSIP because of its frequent lower lobe predominance and areas of ground-glass attenuation, derived from the extensive alveolar septal infiltration of lymphoid cells (116,117). However, cyst formation is more common and extensive in lymphoid interstitial pneumonia than in cellular NSIP (Fig 14) and may, in fact, be the only finding. The cysts in lymphoid interstitial pneumonia are usually discrete and sometimes clustered and tend to be peribronchovascular in distribution (116).

Longitudinal Evaluation.—Follow-up CT studies show that the ground-glass opacity improves in the majority of cases of lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, although cysts remain, with rare cases developing honeycomb change (118).

Radiologic Differential Diagnosis.—If the patients with lymphoid interstitial pneumonia have multiple cysts depicted at high-resolution CT, the differential diagnosis includes Langerhans cell histiocytosis, lymphangioleiomyomatosis, emphysema, and desquamative interstitial pneumonia. However, in contrast to these other entities, the cysts of lymphoid interstitial pneumonia usually predominate in the lower portions of the lungs and around bronchi and vessels. Furthermore, ground-glass opacity and reticulation are associated findings that help differentiate lymphoid interstitial pneumonia from either lymphangioleiomyomatosis or Langerhans cell histiocytosis, in which none of these findings are conspicuous. In the results of a study of 92 patients with chronic cystic lung diseases, including 16 subjects with lymphoid interstitial pneumonia, investigators reported that the correct diagnosis was made in 81% of the cases of lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (83).

Idiopathic Pleuroparenchymal Fibroelastosis
Idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis is a rare condition that consists of a form of fibrosis rich in elastic fibers that involves the pleura and subpleural lung parenchyma, predominantly in the upper lobes. Disease progression occurs in 60% of patients, with death from the disease in 40% (1).

CT Features.—CT appearances of idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis are distinctive, with irregular pleural thickening and “tags” in the upper zones that merge with fibrotic changes in the subjacent lung, associated with evidence of substantial upper lobe volume loss (architectural distortion, traction bronchiectasis, and hilar elevation) (Table 1; Figs 2, 15) (119). In the results of a study by Reddy et al (120), fibrotic CT features remote from the pleuroparenchymal changes (ie, in the mid and lower lung zones) were depicted in five of 12 cases (42%). Most of these fibrotic abnormalities were more reminiscent of an NSIP pattern at CT, although the results from lung biopsy disclosed UIP findings for some of these cases (120).

Longitudinal Evaluation.—Few data are available on the longitudinal behavior of idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis. The findings from serial CT studies demonstrated stability or minor progression with respect to the pleuroparenchymal changes. However, marked progression during a few months has been also described (120,121).

Differential Diagnosis.—The differential diagnosis generally includes familial pulmonary fibrosis, connective tissue disease (particularly ankylosing spondylitis), fibrotic sarcoidosis, and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. However, the striking apical subpleural predominance and associated
pleural thickening will usually help distinguish idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis from the other entities, although some cases of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis may appear identical.

**Unclassifiable IIP**

The 2013 IIP consensus statement acknowledges, as did the 2002 consensus statement, that a final diagnosis is not always achievable (1,2). The frequency of “unclassifiable” cases will vary among centers, but these cases account for a substantial amount of discussion time by the multidisciplinary team—the management of such cases may be aided by the disease behavior classification (Table 2). In the results of a study from a single center, investigators reported that unclassifiable interstitial lung disease accounted for 10% of their cohort of cases of interstitial lung disease (122). Patients were considered to have unclassifiable interstitial lung disease if a prospective review of clinical, radiologic, and pathologic data did not reveal a specific diagnosis after multidisciplinary discussion. The most common reason for a case being designated as unclassifiable was a missing histopathologic assessment because of the high risk associated with surgical lung biopsy (52%).

With regard to the CT features, the definite UIP pattern was found at CT in 17% of patients with unclassifiable ILD, and the “possible UIP pattern” was found in 50% (122). However, there were other compelling clinical, physiologic, or histologic features that made a definite diagnosis not possible. Independent predictors of survival in patients with unclassifiable interstitial lung disease included the diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide and a radiologic fibrosis score at CT examination. In subjects with unclassifiable interstitial lung disease, the CT features of fibrosis (ie, honeycombing, traction bronchiectasis) are suggestive of a poor prognosis, similar to that in patients with confirmed IPF. Whether unclassifiable cases actually represent patients with atypical IPF or remain a heterogeneous collection of ill-defined conditions requires further study.

**Summary**

The revision of the IIP classification has provided incremental but important advances in the understanding of IIPs and other interstitial lung diseases. A systematic approach to chest CT in patients suspected of having IIP entails the need for thin-section CT, evaluation of image quality, precise description of specific disease features with
standard terminology, and determination of the disease distribution in the axial and craniocaudal planes. Radiologists should first distinguish fibrosing from nonfibrosing entities. The main CT differential diagnosis of fibrosing lung disease is between the definite or possible UIP pattern and other fibrotic patterns such as NSIP and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Two new entities have also been included in the latest IIP classification: (a) Although rare, idiopathic pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis displays typical CT features, and its diagnosis is increasingly suggested by radiologists. (b) The designation of unclassifiable IIP results from a prospective review of clinical, radiologic, and pathologic data that did not disclose a specific diagnosis after multidisciplinary discussion. Furthermore, the progression of the IIPs may be heterogeneous, and radiologists are often challenged by the interpretation of progressive changes and acute complications.
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